UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

U	NITED	STATES	OF A	AMERICA,
---	-------	---------------	------	----------

Plaintiff, Case No. 2:06-cr-07-05

v. HON. ROBERT HOLMES BELL

JERROLD VIRGIL WRIGHT, SR.,,

Defendant.		
	/	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on May 4, 2007, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant JERROLD VIRGIL WRIGHT, SR., entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Felony Information, charging defendant with Conspiracy to Distribute and to Possess with Intent to Distribute 500 Grams or More of Mixture or Substance Containing Detectable Amount of Cocaine in violation of 21:846; 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B)(ii), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 2:06-cr-00007-RHB ECF No. 107 filed 05/08/07 PageID.346 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding

Felony Information be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea

agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that

the order setting conditions of defendant's release remain in effect pending sentencing. Acceptance

of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, determination of defendant's

status pending sentencing, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. See~W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -